Hon. P.C. Pant, J.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has sought that his relieving to the State of U.P. be stayed.

On going through the writ petition, I found that the writ petitioner is blowing hot and cold together. On one hand he states that he belongs to State of U.P. and wanted to go back to U.P. and he had challenged the earlier transfer order transferring whereby he was transferred to Chamoli Garhwal, by obtaining order of status quo. On the other hand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the order of status quo is there he cannot be relieved for State of U.P. However, said writ was regarding the transfer order of 2002 under which he has to go to Chamoli from District Udham Singh Nagar.

The present cause of action is entirely different. An employee has no right to work at his pleasure at the place of choice till the time he like to say there. As such the writ petition is devoid of merits and the same is summarily dismissed with the observation that the petitioner may make representation to the authorities concerned regarding his grievance.

Sd/-

Dt. 17.09.2004

HN